

Quality control. Evaluation of the meeting Wroclaw 10-12.04.2019

REPORT

1. Were information sufficiently supplied before the meeting?

yes 6

no 0

Comments: no comments

2. Did you get clear information about the tasks assigned to you in the agenda?

Yes 6

No 0

Comments: no comments

3. Did you prepare the tasks you were supposed to?

Yes 5

No 1

comments We did not receive the questionnaire

4. Did the meeting reach its objective?

Yes 4

No 2

Comments

The third day we attended a meeting of another project that although it was interesting, it was not related to our project and only the partners from Slovakia and we from Spain attended. We prefer that all partners of our project attend all days of the meeting.

Not really, because our meeting was partially interlocked with another meeting aimed at the something completely different

5. Are you satisfied with the discussions and the decision making process?

yes 6

No 0

Comments: no comments

6. Is there anything you would like to improve for next time? If yes, please explain what.

yes 3

No 3

Comments:

The attendance of all partners each day

It is not advisable to combine 2 projects into one. An unnecessary program for another project on Friday.

To communicate more among the partners

7. Are there issues you would have liked to tackle or problems you would have liked to resolve? If yes, please explain what.

Yes: 1

No: 5

Comments:

Everything was successfully explained

As I mentioned before, to do more communication

8. Was the working environment satisfactory?

Yes 6

No 0

Comments Except for the program on Friday, which was not for our project.

.

9. Were the accommodation, food and logistic arrangements satisfactory?

Yes 6

No 0

Comments: no comments.

.

10. Was the participation of all partners active?

Yes 4

No/yes 2

Comments :

Some partners went earlier

Only the first day

11. Are there some frictions among partners?

Yes 1

No 5

Comments: no comments.

12. Is there a clear and realistic timetable in place for next months?

yes : 6

No/ yes 0

Comments: no comments

13. Are the tasks assigned to you for the future clear and reasonable?

Yes:

4

No2

Comments:

We are still waiting for information about how to do the research

They decided to do a questionnaire to 110 seniors and 110 no seniors, that is a good idea for big organizations, but is impossible for us without budget, because we are a small enterprise

15. Please include here further comments, in the case you have any

Maybe the problem is that 3 days of meeting is too much, because the project doesn't have intellectual outputs. It was a good idea for the next meeting in Italy 2 days of meeting and 1 optional day. Thank you to Polish partners for the welcome and the organization of the meeting. See you in Italy!

Conclusions/ suggestions of the Polish partner, who is responsible for the quality control:

- 1. One partner is suggesting that we have still problems with communication (It is mentioned twice in the form). I think we improved a lot but we can think about other solutions- it may be that any partner would have any idea how to do it better. My suggestion is- writing minutes after every meeting or using our facebook group for asking if something is not clear- I think that coordinator (Marcela) should decide about it finally.**
- 2. 3 days of meeting seems to be too much. I think this is the problem of communication and a little bit misunderstanding. In Ostrava we decided that we will have max. 2 days of meeting. I scheduled the whole first day for the most important things and at the meeting in the afternoon I said that 2nd and 3rd days are optional. I asked also the participants and everybody could choose 4 option of the 3rd day: a. free time b. meeting with participants from another project c. set of workshops dedicated to our project only d. participation in a conference from another project (because we had 3 different projects at the same time). 2 teams decided to have free time and 2 other decided to participate in an event NOT DEDICATED to our project. So I can guess that the choice was ... considered. If not- I can assume only that I was not understandable enough and/ or it was too many options to choose. That way or another- we have to plan the other meetings not longer than for 2 days.**
- 3. Every partner should attend every day of the meeting**
- 4. Joining two projects together seemed to be useless (My personal comment, just to explain and apologize- It is not possible if the same persons are involved in two projects in the same time, because it would be double financed. In our situation it is highly evaluated by our agency because of the dissemination of the results- we have nice dissemination more than 30 people from 6 countries!)**

5. There are doubts about proposed research. I explained and cleared the situation with almost every partner by emails. Until now the Slovak and Italian partner have decided to join to the common research. The Spanish partner refused to conduct any kind of research.

The research is a Polish idea and if somebody can't conduct it, it is not compulsory. We don't have to force us too much ;-). It will be enough for the research if we compare Polish, Slovak and Italian data, it may be that the Czech partner will join the research ... ;-)